

School Report



Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics Primary Division (Grades 1–3) and Junior Division (Grades 4–6), 2015–2016

School: St Kevin S (816892)

Board: Niagara Catholic DSB (67156)

On behalf of EQAO, I am pleased to provide you with the results of the 2015–2016 Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics for the primary (Grades 1–3) and junior divisions (Grades 4–6).

This report presents the 2016 results for your school and board, as well as results from previous years, so you can track progress over time. You'll also find demographic and attitudinal information, which provides context for interpreting the achievement results. Because of labour action in the English-language public school system, 2015 was an unusual year in that not all students participated in the provincial assessments. Because of this, there is no provincial-level information for 2015 in this report.

Another significant development over the past year has been the number of refugee and displaced students that have joined Ontario's school system. In the face of an extraordinary circumstance, school communities have warmly welcomed these students. Many may not have developed sufficient skills to attempt the assessments—a fact that will be reflected in some schools' exemption rates this year. As always—and in these instances in particular—EQAO data should not be used to make simplistic comparisons of outcomes between schools or boards, but rather be used to provide valuable information about each community's unique student population.

EQAO assesses and evaluates important aspects of the quality and effectiveness of elementary and secondary school education.

EQAO provides schools and boards with a wide range of data about their students' achievement, attitudes, behaviour and demographics. By intersecting different types of data, schools gain rich insights to help evaluate the effectiveness of their programs and inform improvement planning.

We are pleased to provide reliable and useful information about student achievement to school communities and all partners in the education system. A thorough evaluation of student achievement requires the review of data from many sources. The information EQAO provides allows schools and boards to have richer discussions about their programs and practices, with an eye to improving them to meet their students' needs more effectively.

Sincerely,


Bruce Rodrigues Chief Executive Officer


Education Quality and Accountability Office


Smer Rodnig

WHERE TO FIND	PA	GE
	Grade 3	Grade 6
Percentages of all students at or above the provincial standard:		
• 2015–2016	1	1
Over time	2	3
Tips for using this report	4	4
Contextual information: 2015–2016	5	9
Results for groups of students: 2015–2016		
All students	6	10
Participating students	7	11
Students by gender	8	12
Contextual information: Over time	13	17
Results for all students: Over time	14	18
Results for all students: Over time by gender	21	22
Student questionnaire results	23	32
Explanation of terms	41	41

PERCENTAGE OF ALL STUDENTS AT OR ABOVE THE PROVINCIAL STANDARD (LEVELS 3 AND 4), 2015–2016







TIPS

Each school or board is unique. To appreciate the distinctive character of a school or board, look at the contextual information to understand the features and characteristics of the community it serves.

OB

Every assessment captures the performance of students at one point in time each year. Consider the results along with other information about students' achievement in reading, writing and mathematics.

OB

Exercise caution when interpreting results for small schools or boards. Results may vary considerably from year to year, and differences may look exaggerated. For example, in a school of 20 students, a difference of 10% represents only two students.

OB

Trends may be difficult to identify or to interpret. This is especially true in small schools or boards, or in schools where there is a high turnover in the student population.

OB

EQAO values students' privacy. Beginning in 2012–2013, results are not reported publicly for schools where fewer than 10 students participated because it might be possible to identify individual students. Prior to 2012–2013, results were not reported publicly for schools where fewer than 15 students participated.

ABOUT THIS SCHOOL OR BOARD REPORT

This report shows how well students have met curriculum expectations to the end of the Primary Division and the end of the Junior Division. Students complete a set of test booklets that allow them to show what they have learned in reading, writing and mathematics. The assessments are based on *The Ontario Curriculum*.

This report includes

- results for this year
- a comparison of results of the current and previous administrations to aid in monitoring improvement
- information about the characteristics of the students who participated
- summary graphs showing the percentage of students achieving the provincial standard in reading, writing and mathematics
- detailed tables and graphs showing results for all levels of achievement, results for gender and participation information
- student questionnaire results
- an explanation of all terms used in this report.

HOW TO USE THIS REPORT

- Examine the contextual information to understand the similarities and differences between the school, the board and the province; the board and the province. Consider the challenges that any differences might present.
- Examine the results for reading, writing and mathematics.
 - Are these results consistent with what you would expect?
 - How do the school results compare to the board and the province; the board results compare to the province?
 - How do these results compare over time?
 - What influence might students' attitudes have on student performance (refer to the questionnaire results)?
- Speak to school or board staff about the goals for school improvement related to reading, writing and mathematics.

The Education Quality and Accountability Office is an independent agency that gathers information about student achievement through province-wide assessments. Each year, all Grade 3 and Grade 6 students across Ontario take part in these assessments of reading, writing and mathematics. Individual results are reported to students and to parents and guardians. School, board and provincial results are released publicly.

Learn more about us at www.eqao.com.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Contextual Information: Grade 3*

This information provides a context for interpreting the school's results.

Demographic Information	Scho	School		Board		ince
Enrolment						
Number of Grade 3 students		35		1 513		125 484
Number of classes with Grade 3 students		2		96		9 522
Number of schools with Grade 3 classes	Not a	applicable		48		3 152
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Gender						
Female	14	40%	748	49%	61 092	49%
Male	21	60%	765	51%	64 392	51%
Gender not specified	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Status						
English language learners**	3	9%	30	2%	16 012	13%
Students with special education needs (excluding gifted)**	7	20%	316	21%	21 832	17%
Place of Birth						
Born in Canada	32	91%	1 438	95%	113 401	90%
Born outside Canada	3	9%	73	5%	11 904	9%
In Canada less than one year	2	6%	15	1%	1 088	19
In Canada one year or more but less than three years	1	3%	8	1%	2 228	2%
In Canada three years or more	0	0%	50	3%	7 682	6%
Language						
First language learned at home was other than English	4	11%	116	8%	27 053	22%
Year Student Entered Current School						
Year of the assessment	3	9%	158	10%	16 315	13%
Year prior to the assessment	3	9%	171	11%	13 612	11%
2 years prior to the assessment	5	14%	142	9%	19 697	16%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	24	69%	1 041	69%	75 754	60%
Data not available	0	0%	1	<1%	106	<1%
Year Student Entered Current Board						
Year of the assessment	2	6%	77	5%	7 569	6%
Year prior to the assessment	1	3%	66	4%	6 625	5%
2 years prior to the assessment	3	9%	69	5%	11 721	9%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	29	83%	1 296	86%	98 879	79%
Data not available	0	0%	5	<1%	690	19


^{*} Contextual data pertaining to gender, student status, place of birth, language learned at home and year entered school and board are provided by schools and/or boards through the Student Data Collection process. Some data may be missing because they were not provided by the school or the board.

^{**} See the Explanation of Terms.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016


Grade 3: All Students^{††}


Grade 3: Reading*				
Number of Students		hool 35	Board 1 439	Province 118 838
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	5	14%	17%	16%
Level 3	15	43%	61%	56%
Level 2	12	34%	18%	21%
Level 1	0	0%	1%	3%
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	1%
Participating Students	32	91%	98%	97%
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%
Exempt	3	9%	2%	3%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		57%	79%	72%



Grade 3: Writing*				
Number of Students	School 35		Board 1 439	Province 118 860
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	0	0%	4%	4%
Level 3	22	63%	76%	70%
Level 2	10	29%	17%	22%
Level 1	0	0%	<1%	1%
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	32	91%	98%	97%
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%
Exempt	3	9%	2%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		63%	80%	74%









- * Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.
- †† Some French Immersion students do not write all components of the assessment in Grade 3; the numbers shown reflect those students who were expected to write each component as determined by the French Immersion participation option selected by boards.

Grade 3: Participating Students (excludes "no data" and "exempt" categories)


Grade 3: Reading*						
Number of Students	School 32				Board 1 409	Province 115 029
	#	%	%	%		
Level 4	5	16%	18%	17%		
Level 3	15	47%	63%	58%		
Level 2	12	38%	18%	22%		
Level 1	0	0%	1%	3%		
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	1%		
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		62%	80%	74%		



Grade 3: Writing*						
Number of Students	School 32				Board 1 408	Province 115 222
	#	%	%	%		
Level 4	0	0%	4%	4%		
Level 3	22	69%	78%	72%		
Level 2	10	31%	18%	22%		
Level 1	0	0%	<1%	1%		
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%		
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		69%	82%	77%		



Grade 3: Mathematics	s*			
Number of Students	School 32		Board 1 484	Province 121 828
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	3	9%	11%	12%
Level 3	19	59%	58%	53%
Level 2	9	28%	27%	29%
Level 1	1	3%	3%	5%
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	1%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		69%	69%	65%




- * Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Grade 3: Gender^{††}


Grade 3: School*						
	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mather	natics
Number of Students	Female 14	Male <i>21</i>	Female 14	Male <i>21</i>	Female 14	Male <i>21</i>
Level 4	14%	14%	0%	0%	7%	10%
Level 3	43%	43%	64%	62%	43%	62%
Level 2	29%	38%	21%	33%	29%	24%
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Participating Students	86%	95%	86%	95%	86%	95%
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Exempt	14%	5%	14%	5%	14%	5%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	57%	57%	64%	62%	50%	71%



Grade 3: Board*						
	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mathematics	
Number of Students	Female 706	Male <i>733</i>	Female <i>706</i>	Male 733	Female 748	Male <i>765</i>
Level 4	23%	11%	6%	2%	12%	10%
Level 3	59%	63%	80%	73%	55%	58%
Level 2	14%	21%	12%	22%	28%	26%
Level 1	1%	1%	0%	<1%	3%	3%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	98%	98%	98%	97%	99%	98%
No Data	1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Exempt	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	82%	75%	86%	75%	67%	68%



Grade 3: Province*						
	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mathen	natics
Number of Students	Female <i>57 356</i>	Male 61 482	Female <i>57 363</i>	Male 61 497	Female 61 090	Male 64 381
Level 4	20%	12%	6%	3%	12%	12%
Level 3	56%	56%	74%	66%	52%	50%
Level 2	18%	23%	17%	26%	29%	28%
Level 1	2%	4%	1%	1%	5%	5%
NE1**	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%
Participating Students	98%	96%	98%	96%	98%	96%
No Data	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Exempt	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	76%	68%	80%	69%	63%	63%



Because percentages in tables are rounded, percentages may not add up to 100.

^{*} See the Explanation of Terms.

[†] These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

^{††} Results include only students for whom gender data were available.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Contextual Information: Grade 6*

This information provides a context for interpreting the school's results.

Demographic Information	Schoo	ol	Воа	ard	Prov	ince
Enrolment						
Number of Grade 6 students		37		1 529		123 685
Number of classes with Grade 6 students		2		76		7 94
Number of schools with Grade 6 classes	Not a	applicable		48		2 98
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percen
Gender						
Female	21	57%	750	49%	59 951	48%
Male	16	43%	779	51%	63 734	52%
Gender not specified	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Status						
English language learners**	0	0%	14	1%	12 575	109
Students with special education needs (excluding gifted)**	5	14%	335	22%	26 505	219
Place of Birth						
Born in Canada	35	95%	1 428	93%	108 917	889
Born outside Canada	2	5%	100	7%	14 623	129
In Canada less than one year	0	0%	7	<1%	829	19
In Canada one year or more but less than three years	0	0%	17	1%	2 009	2%
In Canada three years or more	2	5%	76	5%	11 031	9%
Language						
First language learned at home was other than English	5	14%	123	8%	27 801	22%
Year Student Entered Current School						
Year of the assessment	4	11%	134	9%	26 677	22%
Year prior to the assessment	4	11%	120	8%	11 460	9%
2 years prior to the assessment	2	5%	187	12%	12 406	10%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	27	73%	1 087	71%	73 061	59%
Data not available	0	0%	1	<1%	81	<19
Year Student Entered Current Board						
Year of the assessment	0	0%	67	4%	6 265	5%
Year prior to the assessment	2	5%	52	3%	5 691	5%
2 years prior to the assessment	3	8%	73	5%	7 826	69
3 or more years prior to the assessment	29	78%	1 178	77%	101 569	829
Data not available	3	8%	159	10%	2 334	29


Contextual data pertaining to gender, student status, place of birth, language learned at home and year entered school and board are provided by schools and/or boards through the Student Data Collection process. Some data may be missing because they were not provided by the school or the board.

^{**} See the Explanation of Terms.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016


Grade 6: All Students


Grade 6: Reading*				
Number of Students		nool 37	Board 1 529	Province 123 592
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	0	0%	13%	13%
Level 3	30	81%	71%	68%
Level 2	7	19%	14%	15%
Level 1	0	0%	1%	2%
NE1**	0	0%	0%	<1%
Participating Students	37	100%	99%	97%
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%
Exempt	0	0%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		81%	84%	81%



Grade 6: Writing*				
Number of Students		School Boa 37 1 5		Province 123 617
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	5	14%	17%	18%
Level 3	25	68%	68%	62%
Level 2	7	19%	13%	16%
Level 1	0	0%	<1%	1%
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	37	100%	99%	97%
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%
Exempt	0	0%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		81%	86%	80%









- * Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

Grade 6: Participating Students (excludes "no data" and "exempt" categories)


Grade 6: Reading*							
Number of Students	School 37		Board 1 508	Province 120 426			
	#	%	%	%			
Level 4	0	0%	13%	13%			
Level 3	30	81%	72%	69%			
Level 2	7	19%	14%	15%			
Level 1	0	0%	1%	2%			
NE1**	0	0%	0%	<1%			
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		81%	85%	83%			



Grade 6: Writing*							
Number of Students	School 37		Board 1 508	Province 120 456			
	#	%	%	%			
Level 4	5	14%	18%	18%			
Level 3	25	68%	69%	64%			
Level 2	7	19%	13%	17%			
Level 1	0	0%	<1%	1%			
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%			
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		81%	87%	82%			



Grade 6: Mathematics*							
Number of Students	School 37		Board 1 507	Province 120 369			
	#	%	%	%			
Level 4	3	8%	15%	13%			
Level 3	17	46%	41%	38%			
Level 2	12	32%	32%	32%			
Level 1	5	14%	12%	16%			
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%			
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		54%	56%	51%			




- * Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Grade 6: Gender^{††}


Grade 6: School*						
	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mather	natics
Number of Students	Female 21	Male <i>16</i>	Female 21	Male 16	Female 21	Male 16
Level 4	0%	0%	19%	6%	5%	12%
Level 3	71%	94%	62%	75%	48%	44%
Level 2	29%	6%	19%	19%	38%	25%
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	19%
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Participating Students	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Exempt	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	71%	94%	81%	81%	52%	56%



Grade 6: Board*						
	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mather	natics
Number of Students	Female 750	Male <i>779</i>	Female 750	Male 779	Female 750	Male <i>77</i> 9
Level 4	16%	9%	26%	9%	16%	15%
Level 3	72%	70%	66%	71%	41%	40%
Level 2	10%	18%	7%	18%	32%	31%
Level 1	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	10%	13%
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	99%	98%	99%	98%	99%	98%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	88%	80%	92%	80%	57%	54%



Grade 6: Province*						
	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mathen	natics
Number of Students	Female 59 914	Male 63 678	Female 59 927	Male 63 690	Female 59 944	Male 63 722
Level 4	17%	10%	25%	11%	13%	13%
Level 3	68%	67%	62%	62%	38%	37%
Level 2	12%	17%	10%	22%	32%	30%
Level 1	1%	2%	<1%	1%	15%	17%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%
Participating Students	98%	97%	98%	97%	98%	97%
No Data	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Exempt	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	85%	77%	87%	73%	50%	50%



- Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.
- †† Results include only students for whom gender data were available.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Contextual Information over Time: Grade 3*

This information provides a context for interpreting the school's results of the current and previous administrations.

Grade 3	2011–2012	2012–2013	2013–2014	2014–2015	2015–2016
Enrolment					
Number of students	37	36	40	42	35
Participation in the Assessment					
Reading†	97%	97%	100%	100%	91%
Writing†	97%	97%	100%	100%	91%
Mathematics†	97%	97%	100%	100%	91%
Gender					
Female	57%	67%	38%	52%	40%
Male	43%	33%	62%	48%	60%
Student Status					
English language learners**	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%
Students with special education needs (excluding gifted)**	27%	14%	18%	14%	20%
Place of Birth					
Born in Canada	97%	97%	95%	98%	91%
Born outside Canada	3%	3%	5%	2%	9%
In Canada less than one year	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%
In Canada one year or more but less than three years	0%	3%	2%	0%	3%
In Canada three years or more	3%	0%	2%	2%	0%
Language					
First language learned at home was other than English	3%	6%	8%	0%	11%
Year Student Entered Current School					
Year of the assessment	8%	11%	12%	10%	9%
Year prior to the assessment	0%	0%	18%	10%	9%
2 years prior to the assessment	16%	17%	10%	7%	14%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	76%	72%	60%	74%	69%
Data not available	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Contextual data pertaining to gender, student status, place of birth, language learned at home and year entered school are provided by schools and/or boards through the Student Data Collection process. Some data may be missing because they were not provided by the school or the board.

[†] Some French Immersion students do not write all components of the assessment in Grade 3; the numbers shown reflect those students who were expected to write each component as determined by the French Immersion participation option selected by boards.


^{**} See the Explanation of Terms.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016


Results over Time, 2012-2013 to 2015-2016*

Grade 3: Reading


Grade 3 Reading: School*							
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16			
Number of Students	36	40	42	35			
Level 4	8%	12%	5%	14%			
Level 3	64%	62%	62%	43%			
Level 2	22%	22%	31%	34%			
Level 1	3%	2%	2%	0%			
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Participating Students	97%	100%	100%	91%			
No Data	3%	0%	0%	0%			
Exempt	0%	0%	0%	9%			
At or Above Provincial Standard†	72%	75%	67%	57%			



Grade 3 Reading: Board*							
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16			
Number of Students	1 528	1 527	1 542	1 439			
Level 4	11%	12%	15%	17%			
Level 3	61%	62%	59%	61%			
Level 2	23%	22%	22%	18%			
Level 1	3%	2%	2%	1%			
NE1**	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%			
Participating Students	98%	99%	98%	98%			
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%			
Exempt	1%	1%	2%	2%			
At or Above Provincial Standard†	72%	74%	74%	79%			



Grade 3 Reading: Province*									
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16					
Number of Students	122 450	122 018	EC	118 838					
Level 4	12%	12%	EC	16%					
Level 3	55%	58%	EC	56%					
Level 2	23%	23%	EC	21%					
Level 1	5%	4%	EC	3%					
NE1**	1%	1%	EC	1%					
Participating Students	97%	97%	EC	97%					
No Data	1%	1%	EC	1%					
Exempt	3%	2%	EC	3%					
At or Above Provincial Standard†	68%	70%	EC	72%					




- Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.egao.com) for data from previous years.
 Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016


Results over Time, 2012–2013 to 2015–2016*

Grade 3: Writing


Grade 3 Writing: School*							
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16			
Number of Students	36	40	42	35			
Level 4	0%	5%	0%	0%			
Level 3	83%	82%	90%	63%			
Level 2	14%	12%	10%	29%			
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%			
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Participating Students	97%	100%	100%	91%			
No Data	3%	0%	0%	0%			
Exempt	0%	0%	0%	9%			
At or Above Provincial Standard†	83%	88%	90%	63%			



Grade 3 Writing: Board*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	1 528	1 527	1 542	1 439		
Level 4	6%	7%	4%	4%		
Level 3	78%	80%	77%	76%		
Level 2	14%	12%	17%	17%		
Level 1	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%		
NE1**	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%		
Participating Students	98%	99%	98%	98%		
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%		
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	2%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	84%	87%	81%	80%		



Grade 3 Writing: Province*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	122 447	122 018	EC	118 860		
Level 4	7%	6%	EC	4%		
Level 3	70%	72%	EC	70%		
Level 2	19%	18%	EC	22%		
Level 1	1%	1%	EC	1%		
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	<1%		
Participating Students	97%	97%	EC	97%		
No Data	1%	1%	EC	1%		
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	77%	78%	EC	74%		



- Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years.
 Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016


Results over Time, 2012–2013 to 2015–2016*

Grade 3: Mathematics


Grade 3 Mathematics: School*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	36	40	42	35		
Level 4	11%	12%	5%	9%		
Level 3	72%	80%	71%	54%		
Level 2	11%	8%	21%	26%		
Level 1	3%	0%	2%	3%		
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Participating Students	97%	100%	100%	91%		
No Data	3%	0%	0%	0%		
Exempt	0%	0%	0%	9%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	83%	92%	76%	63%		

Percentage o	Percentage of All Grade 3 Students at All Levels in Mathematics: School*						
0 0 0 0	3 0 2 3	21 ²⁶	72 80 71 54	11 12 9			
NE1	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
2012–2013	2013–2	2014	2014–2015	2015–2016			

Grade 3 Mathematics: Board*						
Year	'12–'13	'12-'13 '13-'14 '14-'15				
Number of Students	1 530	1 527	1 542	1 513		
Level 4	10%	11%	11%	11%		
Level 3	61%	60%	56%	57%		
Level 2	26%	25%	29%	27%		
Level 1	2%	2%	3%	3%		
NE1**	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%		
Participating Students	98%	98%	98%	98%		
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%		
Exempt	2%	1%	1%	2%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	71%	71%	66%	68%		



Grade 3 Mathematics: Province*							
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16			
Number of Students	127 633	127 504	EC	125 471			
Level 4	12%	13%	EC	12%			
Level 3	55%	54%	EC	51%			
Level 2	27%	26%	EC	28%			
Level 1	3%	4%	EC	5%			
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	1%			
Participating Students	97%	97%	EC	97%			
No Data	1%	1%	EC	1%			
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%			
At or Above Provincial Standard†	67%	67%	EC	63%			



- Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years.
 Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Contextual Information over Time: Grade 6*

This information provides a context for interpreting the school's results of the current and previous administrations.

Grade 6	2011–2012	2012–2013	2013–2014	2014–2015	2015–2016	
Enrolment						
Number of students	35	42	37	43	37	
Participation in the Assessment						
Reading	100%	98%	95%	95%	100%	
Writing	100%	98%	95%	95%	100%	
Mathematics	100%	98%	95%	95%	100%	
Gender						
Female	49%	45%	46%	44%	57%	
Male	51%	55%	54%	56%	43%	
Student Status						
English language learners**	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Students with special education needs (excluding gifted)**	17%	14%	27%	28%	14%	
Place of Birth						
Born in Canada	91%	98%	100%	95%	95%	
Born outside Canada	6%	2%	0%	5%	5%	
In Canada less than one year	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	
In Canada one year or more but less than three years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
In Canada three years or more	6%	2%	0%	2%	5%	
Language						
First language learned at home was other than English	3%	2%	3%	5%	14%	
Year Student Entered Current School						
Year of the assessment	3%	5%	5%	7%	11%	
Year prior to the assessment	3%	2%	5%	7%	11%	
2 years prior to the assessment	11%	7%	3%	12%	5%	
3 or more years prior to the assessment	80%	86%	86%	74%	73%	
Data not available	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Contextual data pertaining to gender, student status, place of birth, language learned at home and year entered school are provided by schools and/or boards through the Student Data Collection process. Some data may be missing because they were not provided by the school or the board.


^{**} See the Explanation of Terms.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016


Results over Time, 2012–2013 to 2015–2016*

Grade 6: Reading


Grade 6 Reading: School*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	42	37	43	37		
Level 4	5%	5%	7%	0%		
Level 3	74%	78%	70%	81%		
Level 2	17%	11%	19%	19%		
Level 1	2%	0%	0%	0%		
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Participating Students	98%	95%	95%	100%		
No Data	2%	3%	0%	0%		
Exempt	0%	3%	5%	0%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	79%	84%	77%	81%		



Grade 6 Reading: Board*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	1 602	1 505	1 550	1 529		
Level 4	10%	10%	11%	13%		
Level 3	73%	72%	72%	71%		
Level 2	15%	15%	15%	14%		
Level 1	2%	1%	1%	1%		
NE1**	0%	<1%	<1%	0%		
Participating Students	99%	98%	99%	99%		
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%		
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	1%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	83%	82%	83%	84%		



Grade 6 Reading: Province*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	131 514	127 261	EC	123 592		
Level 4	13%	12%	EC	13%		
Level 3	64%	67%	EC	68%		
Level 2	18%	16%	EC	15%		
Level 1	2%	2%	EC	2%		
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	<1%		
Participating Students	98%	98%	EC	97%		
No Data	<1%	<1%	EC	1%		
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	77%	79%	EC	81%		




- Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years.
 Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016


Results over Time, 2012–2013 to 2015–2016*

Grade 6: Writing


Grade 6 Writing: School*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	42	37	43	37		
Level 4	14%	11%	7%	14%		
Level 3	74%	73%	77%	68%		
Level 2	10%	11%	12%	19%		
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%		
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Participating Students	98%	95%	95%	100%		
No Data	2%	3%	0%	0%		
Exempt	0%	3%	5%	0%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	88%	84%	84%	81%		



Grade 6 Writing: Board*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	1 602	1 505	1 550	1 529		
Level 4	12%	10%	14%	17%		
Level 3	74%	74%	71%	68%		
Level 2	13%	14%	13%	13%		
Level 1	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%		
NE1**	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%		
Participating Students	99%	98%	99%	99%		
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%		
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	1%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	86%	84%	85%	86%		



Grade 6 Writing	g: Provinc	ce*		
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	131 504	127 207	EC	123 617
Level 4	13%	12%	EC	18%
Level 3	64%	66%	EC	62%
Level 2	20%	18%	EC	16%
Level 1	1%	1%	EC	1%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	<1%
Participating Students	98%	98%	EC	97%
No Data	<1%	1%	EC	1%
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	76%	78%	EC	80%




- Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years.
 Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016


Results over Time, 2012-2013 to 2015-2016*

Grade 6: Mathematics


Grade 6 Mather	matics: S	chool*		
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	42	37	43	37
Level 4	17%	11%	12%	8%
Level 3	43%	54%	26%	46%
Level 2	31%	27%	53%	32%
Level 1	7%	3%	5%	14%
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%
Participating Students	98%	95%	95%	100%
No Data	2%	3%	0%	0%
Exempt	0%	3%	5%	0%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	60%	65%	37%	54%



Grade 6 Mather	natics: B	oard*		
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	1 601	1 505	1 550	1 529
Level 4	14%	12%	17%	15%
Level 3	51%	47%	43%	41%
Level 2	28%	30%	30%	31%
Level 1	6%	10%	9%	11%
NE1**	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	99%	98%	99%	99%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	1%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	65%	58%	59%	56%



Grade 6 Mather	matics: P	rovince*		
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	131 543	127 286	EC	123 666
Level 4	13%	13%	EC	13%
Level 3	43%	42%	EC	37%
Level 2	30%	30%	EC	31%
Level 1	11%	13%	EC	16%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	<1%
Participating Students	97%	98%	EC	97%
No Data	1%	1%	EC	1%
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	57%	54%	EC	50%




- Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years.
 Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
- ** See the Explanation of Terms.
- † These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

RESULTS FOR ALL STUDENTS OVER TIME BY GENDER* Percentage of Students At or Above the Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4): Grade 3 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 **READING WRITING** 64 62 **MATHEMATICS** Female Male **Total Number of Grade 3 Students*** 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 <u>2015–201</u>6 **Female** Male Female Male **Female** Male **Female** Male **Female** Male School 21 16 24 12 15 25 22 20 14 21

^{*} Includes only students for whom gender data were available.


RESULTS FOR ALL STUDENTS OVER TIME BY GENDER* Percentage of Students At or Above the Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4): Grade 6 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 **READING WRITING MATHEMATICS** Female Male **Total Number of Grade 6 Students*** 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 <u>2015–201</u>6 **Female** Male Female Male **Female** Male **Female** Male **Female** Male School 17 18 19 23 17 20 19 24 21 16

^{*} Includes only students for whom gender data were available.




Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.


St Kevin S (816892)



Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.



^{*} Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.



^{*} Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.

STUDENT QUESTIONN	AIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 3 (# = 32)	
SCHOOLS ATTENDED How many schools did you attend before this one?	Percentage of Students*	Number of students
Only this one	62	20
1 other school	31	10
2 other schools		0
3 other schools		0
4 other schools or more		1
	ige (or other languages) Mostly another language (or other language and the language) Only another language (or other language)	
LANGUAGES SPOKEN	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "only English" or "mostly English"
Languages student speaks at home	84 9	27
Languages in which people speak to student at home	81 9	26

^{*} Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.

		School			Board			Province	
GRADE 3: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	AII (# = 32)	Female* (# = 12)	Male* (# = 20)	AII (# = 1 474)	Female* (# = 730)	Male* (# = 744)	AII (# = 120 554)	Female* (# = 59 170)	Male* (# = 61 384)
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About reading:		Percer	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	imeӠ	
I like to read.	34%	42%	30%	45%	52%	38%	47%	53%	42%
I am a good reader.	59%	75%	50%	66%	69%	63%	64%	66%	63%
I am able to understand difficult reading passages.	25%	33%	20%	30%	28%	32%	29%	27%	319
I do my best when I do reading activities in class.	69%	75%	65%	74%	80%	68%	73%	77%	69%
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About writing:		Percer	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	ime"†	
I like to write.	53%	58%	50%	53%	59%	46%	52%	60%	45%
I am a good writer.	47%	58%	40%	56%	64%	49%	51%	57%	449
I am able to communicate my ideas in writing.	38%	33%	40%	45%	44%	45%	45%	46%	439
I do my best when I do writing activities in class.	66%	75%	60%	72%	77%	68%	72%	76%	679
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN LANGUAGE		Percer	ntage of s	tudents v	who anew	arad iima	-4 -5 46- 4		
Before I start to read, I try to predict what the text will	25%	8%	35%	18%	17%	20%	20%	20%	20%
be about.		8%	35%	18%	17%	20%	20%	20%	
be about. I make sure I understand what I am reading.	62%	8% 75%	35% 55%	18%	17%	20%	20%	20%	629
l make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for	62% 53%	8% 75% 50%	35% 55% 55%	18% 66% 50%	17% 68% 55%	20% 65% 46%	20% 65% 52%	20% 68% 56%	62°
I make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence).	62% 53% 44%	8% 75%	35% 55%	18%	17%	20%	20%	20% 68% 56% 39%	62°
I make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the	62% 53%	8% 75% 50%	35% 55% 55%	18% 66% 50%	17% 68% 55%	20% 65% 46%	20% 65% 52%	20% 68% 56%	62° 47° 34°
I make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have	62% 53% 44% 41%	8% 75% 50%	35% 55% 55% 40%	18% 66% 50% 35%	17% 68% 55% 37%	20% 65% 46% 34%	20% 65% 52% 36%	20% 68% 56% 39%	62° 47° 34° 36°
I make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read.	62% 53% 44% 41% 41% 59%	8% 75% 50% 50% 42%	35% 55% 55% 40% 40%	18% 66% 50% 35% 38%	17% 68% 55% 37% 41%	20% 65% 46% 34% 35%	20% 65% 52% 36% 38%	20% 68% 56% 39% 40%	62° 47° 34° 36° 38°
I make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write.	62% 53% 44% 41%	8% 75% 50% 50% 42% 42%	35% 55% 55% 40% 40%	18% 66% 50% 35% 38% 39%	17% 68% 55% 37% 41% 42%	20% 65% 46% 34% 35% 36%	20% 65% 52% 36% 38% 41%	20% 68% 56% 39% 40% 44%	629 479 349 369 389 409
I make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write. I edit my writing to make it better. I check my writing for spelling and grammar.	62% 53% 44% 41% 41% 59%	8% 75% 50% 50% 42% 42% 75% 33%	35% 55% 55% 40% 40% 40% 50%	18% 66% 50% 35% 38% 39% 44%	17% 68% 55% 37% 41% 42% 49% 52%	20% 65% 46% 34% 35% 36% 40% 44%	20% 65% 52% 36% 38% 41% 44% 46%	20% 68% 56% 39% 40% 44% 47% 49%	209 629 479 349 369 389 409 429
I make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write. I edit my writing to make it better. I check my writing for spelling and grammar.	62% 53% 44% 41% 41% 59%	8% 75% 50% 50% 42% 42% 75% 33%	35% 55% 55% 40% 40% 40% 50%	18% 66% 50% 35% 38% 39% 44% 48%	17% 68% 55% 37% 41% 42% 49% 52%	20% 65% 46% 34% 35% 36% 40% 44%	20% 65% 52% 36% 38% 41% 44% 46%	20% 68% 56% 39% 40% 44% 47% 49%	629 479 349 369 389 409 429
I make sure I understand what I am reading. I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write. I edit my writing to make it better. I check my writing for spelling and grammar. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING AND WRITING	62% 53% 44% 41% 41% 59% 44%	8% 75% 50% 50% 42% 42% 75% 33% Percer	35% 55% 40% 40% 40% 50% 50%	18% 66% 50% 35% 38% 39% 44% 48%	17% 68% 55% 37% 41% 42% 49% 52% who answer	20% 65% 46% 34% 35% 36% 40% 44% ered "mo	20% 65% 52% 36% 38% 41% 44% 46%	20% 68% 56% 39% 40% 44% 47% 49%	629 479 349 369 389 409

Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

[†] Other response options were "never" and "sometimes".

		School		Board			Province		
GRADE 3: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	AII (# = 32)	Female* (# = 12)	Male* (# = 20)	All (# = 1 474)	Female* (# = 730)	Male* (# = 744)	AII (# = 120 554)	Female* (# = 59 170)	Male* (# = 61 384)
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About mathematics:		Percei	ntage of s	students v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	imeӠ	
I like mathematics.	62%	33%	80%	56%	51%	61%	59%	54%	63%
I am good at mathematics.	59%	42%	70%	56%	51%	60%	56%	49%	63%
I am able to answer difficult mathematics questions.	38%	42%	35%	38%	31%	44%	39%	31%	46%
I do my best when I do mathematics activities in class.	81%	67%	90%	78%	79%	76%	79%	80%	78%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN									
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem,		Percei	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	imeӠ	
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics	59%	Percei	ntage of s	students v	who answ	ered "mo 63%	st of the t	imeӠ	65%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I			-						65% 44%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do.	59%	67%	55%	67%	70%	63%	69%	73%	
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem.	59% 44%	67% 33%	55% 50%	67% 40%	70% 41%	63% 39%	69% 45%	73% 46%	44%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem.	59% 44% 47%	67% 33% 25%	55% 50% 60%	67% 40% 53%	70% 41% 56%	63% 39% 49%	69% 45% 54%	73% 46% 60%	44% 49%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem. I check my work for mistakes.	59% 44% 47% 56%	67% 33% 25% 58% 92%	55% 50% 60% 55% 65%	67% 40% 53% 52% 61%	70% 41% 56% 55%	63% 39% 49% 49% 57%	69% 45% 54% 52% 61%	73% 46% 60% 55% 64%	44% 49% 50%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem. I check my work for mistakes. I check my answers to see if it makes sense. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN	59% 44% 47% 56%	67% 33% 25% 58% 92%	55% 50% 60% 55% 65%	67% 40% 53% 52% 61%	70% 41% 56% 55% 64%	63% 39% 49% 49% 57%	69% 45% 54% 52% 61%	73% 46% 60% 55% 64%	44% 49% 50%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem. I check my work for mistakes. I check my answers to see if it makes sense. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN MATHEMATICS	59% 44% 47% 56% 75%	67% 33% 25% 58% 92% Percel	55% 50% 60% 55% 65%	67% 40% 53% 52% 61%	70% 41% 56% 55% 64% who answ	63% 39% 49% 49% 57% ered "mo	69% 45% 54% 52% 61%	73% 46% 60% 55% 64%	44% 49% 50% 58%

^{*} Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

[†] Other response options were "never" and "sometimes".

		School			Board			Province				
GRADE 3: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	AII (# = 32)	Female* (# = 12)	Male* (# = 20)	AII (# = 1 474)	Female* (# = 730)	Male* (# = 744)	AII (# = 120 554)	Female* (# = 59 170)	Male* (# = 61 384)			
OUT-OF-SCHOOL ACTIVITIES How often do you do the following when you are not at school? Percentage of students who answered "every day or almost every day"†												
I participate in art, music or drama activities.	25%	42%	15%	20%	26%	15%	25%	31%	20%			
I participate in after-school clubs.	3%	0%	5%	9%	9%	10%	14%	14%	13%			
I participate in sports or other physical activities.	47%	58%	40%	42%	34%	49%	42%	36%	48%			
PARENTAL ENGAGEMENT How often do you and a parent, a guardian or another adult who lives with you do the following?	Per	centage o	of student	s who ans	swered "e	every day	or almost	t every da	у"†			
We talk about the activities I do in school.	47%	33%	55%	52%	57%	46%	50%	55%	46%			
We talk about the reading and writing work I do in school.	25%	17%	30%	30%	33%	26%	31%	34%	28%			
We talk about the mathematics work I do in school.	38%	33%	40%	36%	36%	36%	37%	39%	35%			
We read together.	34%	33%	35%	29%	30%	28%	31%	33%	29%			
We look at my school agenda.	69%	75%	65%	65%	65%	65%	53%	54%	52%			
We use a computer together.	6%	8%	5%	12%	12%	12%	15%	15%	15%			


^{*} Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

[†] Other response options were "never", "1 or 2 times a month" and "1 to 3 times a week".

		School			Board			Province	
GRADE 3: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	AII (# = 32)	Female* (# = 12)	Male* (# = 20)	All (# = 1 474)	Female* (# = 730)	Male* (# = 744)	AII (# = 120 554)	Female* (# = 59 170)	Male* (# = 61 384)
SCHOOLS ATTENDED How many schools did you attend before this one?				Percent	age of stu	udents†			
Only this school/1 other school	94%	92%	95%	84%	84%	83%	77%	78%	77%
2 other schools/3 other schools	0%	0%	0%	11%	11%	11%	16%	16%	16%
4 other schools or more	3%	0%	5%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	4%
LANGUAGES STUDENTS SPEAK AT HOME				Percent	age of stu	udents†			
Only English/Mostly English	84%	83%	85%	85%	85%	84%	72%	70%	73%
Another language (or other languages) as often as English	3%	0%	5%	8%	8%	9%	16%	17%	15%
Mostly another language (or other languages)/ Only another language (or other languages)	9%	8%	10%	5%	5%	6%	10%	11%	10%
LANGUAGES SPOKEN TO STUDENTS AT HOME				Percent	age of stu	udents†			
Only English/Mostly English	81%	75%	85%	82%	83%	82%	67%	66%	68%
Another language (or other languages) as often as English	9%	17%	5%	8%	8%	8%	13%	14%	13%
Mostly another language (or other languages)/ Only another language (or other languages)	3%	0%	5%	7%	6%	7%	17%	17%	16%

^{*} Includes only students for whom gender data were available.


[†] Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses.




Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.

STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 6 (# = 37) Sometimes Most of the time Never INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN Number of **READING AND WRITING** students who Percentage of Students* answered "most How often do you use the following when of the time" you read and write at school? A computer for reading activities 57 32 8 3 A computer for writing activities 5 Reading and writing tools (e.g., a dictionary, thesaurus, 12 word wall, editing checklist) The Internet to find information 13 STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About mathematics: 25 I like mathematics. 30 I am good at mathematics. 38 22 I am able to answer difficult mathematics questions. 14 I do my best when I do mathematics activities in class. 19 30 81 COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I 11 89 33 am supposed to do. 24 I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. 29 I ask for help if I do not understand the problem. 16 19 I check my work for mistakes. 46 51 30 25 I check my answer to see if it makes sense.

Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.




^{*} Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.



^{*} Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.

St Kevin S (816892)



^{*} Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses. Where there is no number in a bar, the percentage of responses is smaller than four.

		School			Board			Province	
GRADE 6: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	AII (# = 37)	Female* (# = 21)	Male* (# = 16)	AII (# = 1 500)	Female* (# = 738)	Male* (# = 762)	AII (# = 119 451)	Female* (# = 58 364)	Male* (# = 61 087)
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About reading:		Percei	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	ime"†	
I like to read.	41%	43%	38%	46%	54%	39%	45%	54%	38%
I am a good reader.	73%	76%	69%	69%	74%	65%	67%	71%	64%
I am able to understand difficult reading passages.	54%	52%	56%	44%	44%	45%	41%	40%	42%
I do my best when I do reading activities in class.	65%	76%	50%	75%	79%	72%	71%	76%	66%
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About writing:		Percei	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	ime"†	
I like to write.	68%	76%	56%	46%	60%	33%	43%	55%	31%
I am a good writer.	62%	71%	50%	50%	62%	38%	43%	51%	35%
I am able to communicate my ideas in writing.	59%	57%	62%	54%	59%	50%	49%	54%	45%
I do my best when I do writing activities in class.	70%	86%	50%	76%	82%	71%	70%	76%	64%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN LANGUAGE		Percei	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	imeӠ	
Before I start to read, I try to predict what the text will be about.	22%	19%	25%	15%	14%	15%			
I make sure I understand what I am reading.	81%					1370	16%	16%	17%
	01/6	81%	81%	73%	77%	70%	16% 72%	16% 76%	
I slow down my reading if it is difficult.	35%	81% 38%	81% 31%	73% 56%	77% 61%				68%
I slow down my reading if it is difficult. When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence).						70%	72%	76%	68% 51%
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the	35%	38%	31%	56%	61%	70% 51%	72% 57%	76% 62%	68% 51% 38%
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have	35% 43%	38%	31% 50%	56% 43%	61% 46%	70% 51% 40%	72% 57% 42%	76% 62% 46%	689 519 389 379
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read.	35% 43% 43%	38% 38% 29%	31% 50% 62%	56% 43% 44%	61% 46% 46%	70% 51% 40% 42%	72% 57% 42% 40%	76% 62% 46% 43%	68% 51% 38% 37% 30%
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write.	35% 43% 43% 30%	38% 38% 29% 29%	31% 50% 62% 31%	56% 43% 44% 35%	61% 46% 46% 38%	70% 51% 40% 42% 31%	72% 57% 42% 40% 35%	76% 62% 46% 43% 39%	689 519 389 379 309 459
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write. I edit my writing to make it better. I check my writing for spelling and grammar. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING	35% 43% 43% 30% 49%	38% 38% 29% 29% 52% 52%	31% 50% 62% 31% 44% 75%	56% 43% 44% 35% 52% 56%	61% 46% 46% 38% 60% 61%	70% 51% 40% 42% 31% 44% 52%	72% 57% 42% 40% 35% 51%	76% 62% 46% 43% 39% 58% 59%	689 519 389 379 309 459
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write. I edit my writing to make it better. I check my writing for spelling and grammar. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING	35% 43% 43% 30% 49%	38% 38% 29% 29% 52% 52%	31% 50% 62% 31% 44% 75%	56% 43% 44% 35% 52% 56%	61% 46% 46% 38% 60% 61%	70% 51% 40% 42% 31% 44% 52%	72% 57% 42% 40% 35% 51% 53%	76% 62% 46% 43% 39% 58% 59%	689 519 389 379 309 459 489
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write. I edit my writing to make it better. I check my writing for spelling and grammar. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING AND WRITING	35% 43% 43% 30% 49% 62%	38% 38% 29% 29% 52% Percei	31% 50% 62% 31% 44% 75%	56% 43% 44% 35% 52% 56%	61% 46% 46% 38% 60% 61% who answ	70% 51% 40% 42% 31% 44% 52% ered "model"	72% 57% 42% 40% 35% 51% 53%	76% 62% 46% 43% 39% 58% 59%	179 689 519 389 379 309 459 489 129 339
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence). When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read. I organize my ideas before I start to write. I edit my writing to make it better. I check my writing for spelling and grammar. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING AND WRITING A computer for reading activities	35% 43% 43% 30% 49% 62%	38% 38% 29% 29% 52% 52% Percel	31% 50% 62% 31% 44% 75% ntage of s	56% 43% 44% 35% 52% 56% students v	61% 46% 46% 38% 60% 61% who answ	70% 51% 40% 42% 31% 44% 52% ered "mod	72% 57% 42% 40% 35% 51% 53% st of the t	76% 62% 46% 43% 39% 58% 59%	689 519 389 379 309 459 489

Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

[†] Other response options were "never" and "sometimes".

		School			Board			Province	
GRADE 6: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	AII (# = 37)	Female* (# = 21)	Male* (# = 16)	AII (# = 1 500)	Female* (# = 738)	Male* (# = 762)	AII (# = 119 451)	Female* (# = 58 364)	Male* (# = 61 087)
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About mathematics:		Percei	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	imeӠ	
I like mathematics.	68%	62%	75%	47%	41%	54%	50%	42%	57%
I am good at mathematics.	59%	52%	69%	53%	47%	59%	52%	45%	59%
I am able to answer difficult mathematics questions.	38%	24%	56%	39%	32%	46%	38%	30%	46%
I do my best when I do mathematics activities in class.	81%	81%	81%	82%	83%	80%	77%	77%	77%
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics		Percei	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	ime"†	
MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I	89%	Percei	ntage of s	students v	vho answ	ered "mo 80%	st of the t	ime"†	77%
MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem,	89% 65%								77% 49%
MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do.		86%	94%	84%	87%	80%	81%	86%	
MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem.	65%	86% 71%	94% 56%	84% 51%	87% 52%	80% 49%	81% 50%	86% 52%	49%
MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem.	65% 78%	86% 71% 76%	94% 56% 81%	84% 51% 61%	87% 52% 66%	80% 49% 57%	81% 50% 59%	86% 52% 64%	49% 55%
MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem. I check my work for mistakes.	65% 78% 51%	86% 71% 76% 38% 71%	94% 56% 81% 69% 62%	84% 51% 61% 53%	87% 52% 66% 55% 69%	80% 49% 57% 52% 67%	81% 50% 59% 50% 66%	86% 52% 64% 51% 68%	49% 55% 48%
When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem. I check my work for mistakes. I check my answers to see if it makes sense. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN	65% 78% 51%	86% 71% 76% 38% 71%	94% 56% 81% 69% 62%	84% 51% 61% 53% 68%	87% 52% 66% 55% 69%	80% 49% 57% 52% 67%	81% 50% 59% 50% 66%	86% 52% 64% 51% 68%	49% 55% 48%
MATHEMATICS When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem. I check my work for mistakes. I check my answers to see if it makes sense. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN MATHEMATICS	65% 78% 51% 68%	86% 71% 76% 38% 71% Percel	94% 56% 81% 69% 62%	84% 51% 61% 53% 68%	87% 52% 66% 55% 69% vho answ	80% 49% 57% 52% 67% ered "mo	81% 50% 59% 50% 66%	86% 52% 64% 51% 68% ime"†	49% 55% 48% 64%
When I am working on a mathematics problem, I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do. I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem. I ask for help if I do not understand the problem. I check my work for mistakes. I check my answers to see if it makes sense. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN MATHEMATICS Manipulatives (e.g., base ten blocks, tiles)	65% 78% 51% 68%	86% 71% 76% 38% 71% Percel	94% 56% 81% 69% 62% ntage of s	84% 51% 61% 53% 68% students v	87% 52% 66% 55% 69% who answ	80% 49% 57% 52% 67% ered "mo	81% 50% 59% 50% 66% st of the t	86% 52% 64% 51% 68% ime"†	49% 55% 48% 64%

^{*} Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

[†] Other response options were "never" and "sometimes".

		School			Board			Province				
GRADE 6: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	AII (# = 37)	Female* (# = 21)	Male* (# = 16)	AII (# = 1 500)	Female* (# = 738)	Male* (# = 762)	AII (# = 119 451)	Female* (# = 58 364)	Male* (# = 61 087)			
OUT-OF-SCHOOL ACTIVITIES How often do you do the following when you are not at school? Percentage of students who answered "every day or almost every day"†												
I participate in art, music or drama activities.	19%	19%	19%	16%	21%	12%	16%	20%	12%			
I participate in after-school clubs.	8%	5%	12%	8%	9%	7%	10%	10%	9%			
I participate in sports or other physical activities.	49%	43%	56%	48%	44%	52%	43%	37%	49%			
PARENTAL ENGAGEMENT How often do you and a parent, a guardian or another adult who lives with you do the following?	Per	centage o	of student	s who ans	swered "e	every day	or almost	every da	yӠ			
We talk about the activities I do in school.	41%	43%	38%	49%	52%	45%	45%	49%	42%			
We talk about the reading and writing work I do in school.	19%	14%	25%	23%	24%	22%	22%	24%	20%			
We talk about the mathematics work I do in school.	32%	29%	38%	33%	34%	33%	33%	35%	31%			
We read together.	11%	10%	12%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%			
We look at my school agenda.	49%	43%	56%	46%	43%	49%	29%	29%	29%			
We use a computer together.	5%	10%	0%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	10%			

^{*} Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

[†] Other response options were "never", "1 or 2 times a month" and "1 to 3 times a week".

	School			Board			Province		
GRADE 6: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)		Female* (# = 21)	Male* (# = 16)	AII (# = 1 500)	Female* (# = 738)	Male* (# = 762)	AII (# = 119 451)	Female* (# = 58 364)	Male* (# = 61 087)
SCHOOLS ATTENDED How many schools did you attend before this one?									
Only this school/1 other school	81%	81%	81%	79%	80%	78%	69%	69%	68%
2 other schools/3 other schools	11%	10%	12%	15%	14%	15%	22%	22%	22%
4 other schools or more	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	6%	6%
LANGUAGES STUDENTS SPEAK AT HOME				Percent	age of stu	udents†			
Only English/Mostly English	86%	86%	88%	87%	87%	88%	74%	75%	74%
Another language (or other languages) as often as English	8%	5%	12%	7%	8%	7%	15%	16%	15%
Mostly another language (or other languages)/ Only another language (or other languages)	3%	5%	0%	3%	3%	4%	8%	7%	8%
LANGUAGES SPOKEN TO STUDENTS AT HOME Percentage of students†									
Only English/Mostly English	84%	86%	81%	84%	83%	84%	68%	68%	68%
Another language (or other languages) as often as English	11%	5%	19%	7%	8%	6%	13%	14%	13%
Mostly another language (or other languages)/ Only another language (or other languages)	3%	5%	0%	7%	7%	7%	15%	14%	15%

^{*} Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

[†] Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses.

	EXPLANATION OF TERMS				
All Students	Results are reported for all students in the grade.				
Participating Students	Results are reported only for those students who took part in the assessment (excludes "no data" and "exempt" categories).				
Provincial Standard	The Ministry of Education has set Level 3 as the provincial standard.				
Level 4	The student has demonstrated the required knowledge and skills. Achievement surpasses the provincial standard.				
Level 3	The student has demonstrated most of the required knowledge and skills. Achievement is at the provincial standard.				
Level 2	The student has demonstrated some of the required knowledge and skills. Achievement approaches the provincial standard.				
Level 1	The student has demonstrated some of the required knowledge and skills in limited ways. Achievement falls much below the provincial standard.				
NE1	"Not enough evidence for Level 1" is used when students did not demonstrate enough evidence of knowledge and understanding to be assigned Level 1.				
No Data	Students who did not have a result due to absence or other reasons.				
Exempt	Students who were formally exempted from participation in one or more components of the assessment.				
English Language Learners	Students who have been identified by the school in accordance with English Language Learners: ESL and ELD Programs and Services: Policies and Procedures for Ontario Elementary and Secondary Schools, Kindergarten to Grade 12 (2007).				
Students with Special Education Needs (excluding gifted)	Students who have been formally identified by an Identification, Placement and Review Committee, as well as students who have an Individual Education Plan. Students whose sole exceptionality is giftedness are not included.				
N/R	"Not reported" indicates that the number of students participating (fewer than 10 in a group) or responding to the Student Questionnaire (fewer than six in a group) is so small that identification of individual student results might be possible; therefore, results are not reported.				
N/D	No data available is used to indicate that there were no students in the grade or subject for the group or year specified.				
W	Results are being withheld by EQAO. For further information, please contact the school principal.				
EC	Due to exceptional circumstances in 2015, provincial data are unavailable to report provincial results.				
NP	Non-participating indicates that due to exceptional circumstances, some or all of the school's or board's students did not participate.				